



Google bosses spend tens of millions of dollars attacking man, yet deny they meant to; but, Oops! Bank records reveal they did it on purpose

By Darla Wescott- Stanford University

Google has been sued by a number of people whose lives Google seems to have maliciously destroyed. When Google lost these cases, they threw some money at the victims of their defamations and said: *“Here, now go away”*.

There are now enough court documents and investigator records on file, around the world, to clearly prove that Google uses its control of the internet for attacks on anyone that its owners do not like.

The owners of Google seem to enjoy their revenge served cold with a side of acrimony. When they set their sights on a Senator or competitor that does not comply with their wishes, they bring a hundred billion dollars worth of computer servers onto the battlefield. They aim them at the brand name of the party they wish to character assassinate and off they go. Nobody can rig the internet like Google can.

The European Union, and many other parties, have carefully documented Google's intentional use of the “Schmidt Death Letter”. This involves a memo from one of Google's bosses to “take him out”, and destroy a person's reputation with a digital ion cannon of millions of attack links on the web. Eric Schmidt even got caught doing it to tens of thousands of Silicon Valley programmers in the notorious “No Poaching” class-action lawsuit.

Google made the entire world believe that a kindly mother was a “Prostitute”, a respected doctor was a “mobster” and tens of thousands of other people were horrible things that they actually were not. Each of them begged and pleaded with Google to fix the errors. In most cases, Google refused to help the people.

There are a few cases of extraordinary malfeasance by Google. In one case, Google spent tens of millions of dollars attacking their arch competitor. All of the evidence points to a multi-year long attack that only Google (of all of this victim's competitors) undertook, only Google spent the money paying for the attack, Google was the biggest beneficiary of the attack and every aspect of the attack was

directly implemented by a covert holding of Google's.

Google says: “*Uh-Huh? No we didn't*”, but all of the payments for the attacks turn out to have come from Google's bosses. Oops, Google! Google's claim of “*Oh, well, he just accidentally got caught in the cross-fire*”... is hard to rationalize when you see that the 'cross fire' cost a zillion dollars to operate and it was all paid for by Google.

To understand why and how Google could rationalize such a Machiavellian way of doing business, you have to understand the upbringing and current social bubble of the people who own Google.

They are all rich white fraternity house-type elitist boys who built a rich white boy elitist fraternity house walled garden society in, and around, Woodside, California. In their companies and community, almost no blacks, few people over 30 and only women who are eye-candy receptionists, trophy wives or “baby ovens” are tolerated. They took their ivy league “brogramming” social brainwashing to an extreme.

The people that run Google are not very nice people. One of them has a famous “sex penthouse”. One of the other married Google executives was killed by one of his hookers on his “sex yacht”. Another Google owner was in the news for a disastrous 3-way sex romp.. etc., etc. The news articles about Google's bosses bad deeds, political corruptions, briberies, tax evasions and anti-trust schemes are epic.

Google top dogs seem to have no concern for the law or for moral limits. That is why their egotistical constructs always urge them to attack-and-destroy rather than use good moral judgment. It is the way of the Frat House. They do not know any other way but to go all John Belushi, ***Animal House*** Style.